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To the Editor in Chief,

I am re submitting the manuscript entitled “A systematic review protocol to evaluate the psychometric properties of measures of function within adult neuro-rehabilitation” for review.

Thank you for the opportunity to address your comments, we have considered and taken on board those comments and edited the manuscript accordingly. I have provided an itemised account in table format of all changes (see following page).

Thank you for your time and I look forward to your response.

Sincerely

Shannon Pike
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Editor in Chief Comments</th>
<th>Author’s response</th>
<th>Changes to Text (tracked version)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;The text in the Risk of Bias Assessment section (starting on line 432) does not make sense to me in light of what the authors have drafted in lines 448-466 (indeed some readers might interpret this as two conflicting perspectives). My recommendation is for the authors to delete the current text in the Risk of Bias assessment section and replace it with the text in lines 448-466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thank you for your comments, the authors have edited the text within the Risk of Bias Assessment section as per recommendation.</td>
<td>Please see tracked changes to Risk of Bias section, lines 217 – 236.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The section on data analysis, starting on line 442 - 446 needs more description for the average reader. I don't think it really says anything. Readers need something more complete and transparent.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The authors have now edited the manuscript to provide readers with a more complete and transparent detail on data analysis.</td>
<td>Please see tracked changes lines 238 – 284.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>