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**Reviewer's report:**

Major Compulsory Revisions:
Inclusion of studies: at least two review authors should perform the initial literature scan.

Minor Essential Revisions:
Methods, Population/Participants:
Adult patients (above 18 years) with diabetes and/or CKD in any healthcare setting (title is: Effectiveness of management models for facilitating self-management and patient outcomes in adults with diabetes and chronic kidney disease)

Methods, Interventions, Relevant intervention components include:
Former comment not addressed:
“Only studies whose chronic disease management models have included one or more of the above components will be eligible for inclusion.” So, if for example a study just provides materials to patients (‘patient education’) this would be called a chronic disease management programme?

Outcomes: adverse events should always be investigated.

Search strategy (appendix A): lines 56 and 57 do not fit description of study design/setting (page 8) which relates to RCTs.

Why is a search with/without RCT filter employed (appendix A/B) where authors just look for RCTs?
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