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Reviewer's report:

1. Do you believe the case report is authentic?
   Yes

2. Do you have any ethical concerns? Please consider if local Institutional Review Board approval or ethical approval was obtained (if appropriate) and if the patient (or their parent or guardian in the case of children under 18) gave written, informed consent to publish this case and any accompanying images. A statement to this effect should appear in the manuscript.
   Comments: No

3. Does the Introduction explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature?
   Yes

4. Does the article report the following information? Where information is missing, please specify.
   a. The relevant patient information, including:
      - De-identified demographic information (age, gender, ethnicity)
      - Main symptoms of the patient
      - Medical, family and psychosocial history
      - Relevant past interventions and their outcomes
   b. The relevant physical examination findings
   c. Important dates and times in this case (if appropriate, organized as a timeline via a figure or table); if specific dates could lead to patient identification, consider including time relevant to initial presentation, i.e. initial presentation at T = 0, follow up at T = 1 month.
   d. Diagnostic assessments, including:
      - Diagnostic methods
      - Challenges (e.g., financial, language/cultural)
      - Reasoning and prognostic characteristics (e.g., staging), where applicable
   e. Types and mechanism of intervention
   f. A summary of the clinical course of all follow-up visits
   Comments: As note below
5. Is the interpretation (discussion and conclusion) well balanced and supported by the case presented?
Comments: Not well balanced

6. Is the anonymity of the patient protected? Please consider any identifying information in images such as facial features or nametags, whether the patient is named etc. If not, please detail below.
Yes

7. Is the Abstract representative of the case presented?
Comments: in a way yes

8. Does the case represent a useful contribution to the medical literature?
Comments: to an extent

9. Additional comments for the author(s)?

1. On clinical examination commented on the orthostatic blood pressure but what about the orthostatic change in heart rates?
2. Clarify the exact test done for evaluation of Ischemic heart disease
3. if standard treadmill test was done, what was the heart rate response to exercise, did he achieve 85% of target heart rate for his age? and when was this done in context to his vague symptoms
4. infrequent bradycardia was mentioned on Holter or MCOT monitor for 7 days, what was the heart rate? what was the average HR, what time of the day the bradycardia was predominantly present?
5. sinus bradycardia of 54 with unifocal PVCs were noted, does this explain his syncope or symptoms in this individual? what was the reason to use atropine with no hemodynamic compromise?
6. what is the incidence of infiltrating gliomas in the left temporal region causing bradycardia? what are the treatment options? prognosis? did treatment options improved his symptoms and bradycardia?

Level of interest
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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