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Author’s response to reviews:

Please check page 5, line 17, second sentence be sure that In must be written by correctly.

Yes, dictation of in was corrected. Pages: 5 Line: 98

De-identified demographic information (age, gender, ethnicity) [No] In the text had been said that A 38-year-old female virgin was referred to our emergency. Page 2 Line 28, Page 3 Line 51

Challenges (e.g., financial, language/cultural) [No] There were no challenges

I will still insist that Ultrasound scan cannot give a measurement of 95 x 65 cm of the subserous fibroid while laparoscopy gave 10 x 15 cm. Technically, it will be impossible to access the abdomen by laparoscopy with a solid mass almost 1 meter long (95 cm), if at all this will fit into the abdominal cavity. The units obtained by ultrasound should read 9.5 x 6.5 cm (Page 3, line 48)

Yes, the measures in ultrasound was 9.5 x 6.5 and it had been wrongly written 95 x65.

It was revised. Page:3 Line: 63,

Discussion: Page 5, line 39-40
You should add: In this case laparoscopy allows for the diagnosis of the source of the bleeding
This sentences was added Page:5 , Line:106-7