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Author’s response to reviews:

Dr. Prabhashini Kumarihamy.
Senior Registrar in Medicine,
Professorial Medical Unit,
Teaching Hospital Peradeniya.
06/09/2019

The Editor,
Journal of Medical Case Reports

Dear sir/madam.

Infective myositis, an uncommon presentation of Melioidosis: A case report and review of literature.
Thank you for considering our manuscripts. I am sorry that I was unable to submit the revised manuscript on time. I have done all the requested changes and answered to all question for editor
and reviewers’ comments. I have attached the clean version of revised manuscript. Details of the changers and answers to editor and reviewers’ comments are mention below in this letter.

I would be grateful to you if you could consider this article to publish in your journal. Please contact me if you need further correction and I am happy to reply them.

Best regards,
Prabhashini Kumarihamy.

In response to the reviewers’ comments, I have answered the reviewers’ questions and made the necessary changes in the manuscript as below. All the removed words and sentences are marked in red and cut off across. All the new added words and sentences are in red.
We, authors would like to thank editors and reviewers for reviewing and commenting on our manuscript which helps us to improve the quality our article.

Reviewer #1: 1.
Not asked for correction
Reviewer #2:
→ Please correct in the discussion part in line 172, 175, 191, 192, 196 the word "milioidosis" to melioidosis.

Answer-this was corrected. Changers are as mention below.
Page no 9 line no 176,179 and page no 10 line no 197,198,202,203,211-the word "milioidosis" was changed to ‘melioidosis.’

→ In line 183 there is a "we" to delete.
Answer- the word ‘We’ was deleted. Page no 9 , line no 188

Reviewer #3:
→ Additional comments for the author(s)? Minor changes but suggested:

□ Specify further what "Mahiyanganaya" means in Introduction… township? County?
Answer- Mahiyanganaya is a village and the word ‘Mahiyanganaya’ was removed from manuscript and ‘rural area in central Sri Lanka’ was added. page 5 line no 90-91

□ -and what season/month it was
Answer- this was in October. added at page no 5 line no 91
Expand abbreviation "...aspect of R/ upper thigh..." to "...aspect of right upper thigh..."
Answer- aspect of R/ upper thigh..."was expanded to "...aspect of right upper thigh’ page no 6 line no 101

Case description: state the duration of type-2 diabetes mellitus
Answer- page no 6, line no 106 – duration was 8 years

"Retroviral infection was excluded" - replace with "antibodies for HIV-1 (and HIV?) were negative" - I think this is what the Authors meant
Answer- This was changed .page no 7 line no 124-125 ‘Antibodies for HIV 1 and 2 were negative’ was added and ‘Retroviral infection was excluded’ was deleted

Was the serum checked for any other antibodies but for Melioidosis?
Answer- checked anti bodies for HIV 1 and 2 antibodies , melioidosis only

3. The manuscript could benefit from 1 more round of editing by a native speaker of English with biomedical or medical background.
Answer –this was done and changers are as below.
Page no 1, line no 2- ‘M’ was changed in to ‘m’ of the word ‘ Melioidosis
Page no 3, line no 40-‘ tissue involvement is’ was changed into ‘tissue involvement are’
Page no 3, line no 40- ‘Musculoskeletal and soft tissue involvement are relatively uncommon presentations in melioidosis and we present a .......’ Was divided into two sentences and appear as ‘Musculoskeletal and soft tissue involvement are relatively uncommon presentations in melioidosis. We present a.........’
Page no 3, line no 41-‘ considered as an endemic country’. The word ‘as ‘ was removed
Page no 3, line no 45- ‘sixty year’ was changed as ‘A 60 year’
Page no 3, line no 45- ‘4’ was written as ‘four’
Page no 3, line no 46- ‘painful lump in the right’ was changed as ‘ painful lump on the right’by replacing ‘in’ from ‘on’
Page no 3, line no 46- ‘2 months duration’ was removed and ‘the duration of two months’ was added
Page no 3, line no 47- number ‘3’ was written as ‘three’
Page 3, line no 49- ‘the’ was added before ‘right vastus lateralis’
Page no 3, line no 54- ‘muscle was infiltrated by lymphocytes’ was corrected as ‘muscle infiltrated by lymphocytes’ by removing ‘was’
Page no 3,line no 56-‘the’ was added before ‘muscle biopsy’
Page no 4, line no 58 and 59- the number ‘2’ and ‘6’ was rewritten as ‘two’ and ‘six’
Page no 4,line no 64-‘to determining’ was corrected as ‘to determine’
Page no 5,line no 90-‘Sri Lanka’was removed.
Page no 5, line no 92-‘4 months duration’ was corrected as ‘four months’
Page no 5,line no 93--‘2 months duration’ was corrected as ‘two months’
Page no 5, line no 93- ‘He admitted’ was corrected as 'He was admitted’
Page no 5, line no 94- ‘3 months’ was rewritten as ‘three months’
Page no 5,line no 96- in the word ‘Intensive Care unit’, ‘unit’ was written with ‘U’ as ‘Unit’
Page no 5, line no 98- ‘…………of thigh was reduced with the treatment….’ corrected as ‘………… of thigh reduced with treatment…’ by removing ‘was’ and ‘the’
Page no 5, line no 99- ‘after discharging’ was corrected as ‘after being discharged’
Page no 6, line no 107- ‘drugs’ was removed and ‘medication’ was added instead.
Page no 6, line no 109- ‘was mildly pale’ was corrected as ‘mildly pale’
Page no 7, line no 128- ‘which was extending’ was corrected as ‘which extended’
Page no 7, line no 132- ‘chest and abdomen was normal’ was corrected as ‘chest and abdomen were normal’
Page no 7, line no 140- ‘2 weeks duration’ was rewritten as ‘two weeks’
Page no 7, line no 142- ‘day 7 of’ rewritten as ‘day seven of’
Page no 7, line no 143- ‘…………fever-free by day seven of treatment and he was discharged….’
Was divided into two sentences as ‘…………fever-free by day seven of treatment. He was discharged….’
Page no 7, line no 145- the word ‘later’ was removed.
Page no 8, line no 159- ‘3 weeks’ was rewritten as ‘three weeks’
Page no 9, line no 177- ‘……..in a patient who presenting…….’ The word ‘who’ was removed and’ ‘……..in a patient presenting…..’
Page no 9, line no 181- ‘4 days’ was rewritten as ‘four days’
Page no 9, line no 181- ‘……..prior to muscle biopsy would have’ was corrected adding ‘which’ and read as ‘prior to muscle biopsy which would have’
Page no 10, line no 200- ‘by the time…….’ was corrected as ‘at the time…….’
Page no 11, line no 213- ‘need to determining’ was corrected as ‘need to determine’

Thank you