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Reviewer's report:

Thanks to the authors for addressing most of my comments. I still have some concerns.

Title: The authors might want to specify that the bronchiolitis was bilateral; for the records. Also, it should read *right-sided pneumonia* and not right-side pneumonia.

Abstract/Case Presentation: The case should be presented in past tense. So, line 37 should reads: "We report the case of a 17-month-old boy who presented to our intensive care unit with a history of .....".

The course of hospitalisation is still blur. Evidently, the child responded initially well to treatment. By the third day, the patient was extubated and transferred to the Pediatric department (surely because the respiratory distress got better). The was thermal defervescence and patient "improved" over the "next ten days". Why will the patient be maintained in the hospital for up to 17 days with such an apparently favourable response to treatment? On the 17th day, there was a new episode of fever. What were the authors treating the child for? Did their initial diagnosis change? What was their treatment plan? The second episode of fever apparently lasted for two days. What happened between that time and the 30th day? There are still questions that puzzle the reader concerning the hospital stay of the patient which need to be address. The authors need to add more details to clarify this section of their report.
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