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Author’s response to reviews:

Thank you for considering our case report potentially acceptable for publication. We have attended to the issues raised and will be happy to receive further guidance if there are areas which still need attention. Below is a point by point response to the issues raised. Our responses are in bold

Add a paragraph at the end of the Introduction that explains why this case report is presented (what is unique and adds to the medical knowledge: We have added reasons why this case report is being presented. The reason being mainly that it’s a rare presentation, difficulty to make a diagnosis and also difficulty to choose a management option see lines 70-72

The case report should include past medical, social, environmental, family and employment history.

What medications was the patient receiving prior to labor? Did the patient smoke, and/or consume alcohol? These sections have been added. They been left because they were negative and hence considered non-contributory to the problem at hand. See lines 86 to 89

Give detailed physical and neurological examination on admission. What was the pulse, blood pressure and temperature, on admission? We have expanded the physical examination. Pulse and blood was already included. See lines 91 and 92

Give the doses of all medications that were given and their doses. These have been added. See lines 126 to 131, then 144 to 146

Give all results of laboratory findings (i.e. CBC, liver and renal functions), urinalysis, serology, microbiology etc) The pre-operative results were normal. The haemoglobin was indicated.
Results have been added. In view of the diagnosis no microbiology test were done except the routine ward urinalysis. See line 110-115.

..

Give information about follow-up for at least 6 months. The patient was last reviewed 3 months post operatively. see lines 153 -155. It is not yet 6 months after the operation since this was done on 29 -11-2018.

Yours sincerely

Dr Rumbidzai Majangara (on behalf of the authors)