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Author’s response to reviews:

Letter to the reviewer

Dear reviewer 1;

First I wanted to thank you for your comments that will for sure help me improve my work. I’ll now address your questions one by one.

1) By whole body CT I meant thoracic abdominal pelvic CT it was a mistake and it will be corrected in the manuscript.

2) We are working in an anticancer department and all my professors are quite used to this kind of inbloc resections that’s why the surgery went well.
3) For the imaging, all the radiological work up was made in the private sector and in the patient folder only written reports remains I won’t be able to post CT pictures

Thank you again for your help and advises. I’ll be waiting to hear back from you and answer all your questions.

Regards

Dear reviewer Two,

First I wanted to thank you for your comments that will for sure help improve my work.

I’ll now address your questions one by one.

1) He was refereed after two months and this has been added to the text. As said in the text the patient consulted one year after the surgery and he did not receive chemotherapy. The physical examination was normal apart from the cutaneous metastasis. The patient died a month after the biopsy and never had his chemotherapy treatment. The case summary has been reshaped and those details were added.

2) By whole body CT I meant thoracic abdominal pelvic CT; it was a mistake and it will be corrected in the manuscript. For the imaging, all the radiological work up was made in the private sector and in the patient folder only written reports remains I won’t be able to post CT pictures

3) He never had chemotherapy. First he went lost to follow up and then he died before even having one cure.

4) The patient died in less of month after the biopsy.
5) Histopathological pictures have been added.

6) I thought that those percentage will be enough to show the rarity of urinary malignancies metastasizing to the skin. Other numbers have been added as you asked. Hematogenous spread seems to be the most probable mechanism in our case and a sentence has been added to the manuscript.

7) As it is a case report with the aim to report a rare clinical presentation, I tried to report:

- The mechanism
- The problem with diagnoses

-And how to treat it, while remaining simple and concise and bringing the needed information for the physicians who are dealing with this disease. All to avoid having a long manuscript that would conceal the main information.

Thank you again for your advises. It will help me improve my manuscript. I am available to answer further questions.

Regards.

Dear reviewer6;

I thank you for your time and your advice that will for sure help improve my manuscript. I tried to shorten the introduction as much as possible.

Histological picture has been added.
Thank you again for your help

Kind regards