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Journal of Medical Case Reports.

Thank you for allowing us to revise and resubmit our manuscript. The changes are highlighted in yellow.

Reviewer reports:

Reviewer #2: Dear authors,

Thank you for your corrections and comments. However, the manuscript still needs some revisions.

Question: 1- You didn't check the instructions to authors carefully.

Example of errors:
"is extremely rare during pregnancy. [3]'": we don't put the reference after the "."

"Per Ann Arbor staging criteria [7,] "

Check/correct in the whole manuscript.

Answer: DONE

2- As the color of figure 1 is in black/white so adding an arrow in black will decrease the visibility of the ultrasound image. Modify the color of the arrow.

Answer: DONE

3- It is important to add the results of the FISH (C-Myc translocation) as a picture. Please check again the software and find how to add the figure. All the available softwares allow doing this.

ANSWER: The FISH image and legend were added

4- The quality of the figures is still very low. Please replace them with high-quality pictures of the histopathological examinations (increase them to show the cellular levels). If you show them to a pathologist, he will not recognize the cellular features !!!. Case reports reporting this type of diseases need high-quality figures to improve your article.

ANSWER: As instructed we recut, reexamined, rephotographed and reprocessed all of the images. There are now five images and after consulting multiple pathologists and reviewing multiple slides I am afraid these are the best we have.

5- I meant by "add the abbreviations used in the text" those used in the text and not found in the list of abbreviations. These abbreviations that you used still missing from the list: examples: cMYC, CD20, and PAX-5, Bcl6, CD10, Ki67, CD3, bcl2, CD5, and CD34 !!.

ANSWER: DONE

7- Separate the conclusion from the discussion section. That is why I asked you to check the "instructions to authors" not to provide the CARE checklist (you can check the instructions here:https://jmedicalcasereports.biomedcentral.com/submission-guidelines).

Answer: As can be seen on the screen shot from the instructions page, the Discussion and Conclusions are linked. We were also a little confused initially why the journal would want them
combined but each journal has their own style, platform and requirements. We were just following written instructions:

8- The figures related to the immunohistochemical analysis are still missing in your manuscript. As this is the first case study reporting such finding, doing such analysis is required for diagnosis so it is also required to add their related pictures as well.

ANSWER: DONE. This is Figure 4.

Reviewer #3: I have reviewed the revised version of the manuscript. The authors have sufficiently responded to my comments, and for my point of view, the manuscript can be accepted in its current form.

No response required