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Reviewer's report:

1. Do you believe the case report is authentic?

Yes

2. Do you have any ethical concerns? Please consider if local Institutional Review Board approval or ethical approval was obtained (if appropriate) and if the patient (or their parent or guardian in the case of children under 18) gave written, informed consent to publish this case and any accompanying images. A statement to this effect should appear in the manuscript.

Comments: No ethical concerns.

3. Does the Introduction explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature?

No

4. Does the article report the following information? Where information is missing, please specify.

a. The relevant patient information, including:
   - De-identified demographic information (age, gender, ethnicity)
   - Main symptoms of the patient
   - Medical, family and psychosocial history
   - Relevant past interventions and their outcomes

b. The relevant physical examination findings
c. Important dates and times in this case (if appropriate, organized as a timeline via a figure or table); if specific dates could lead to patient identification, consider including time relevant to initial presentation, i.e. initial presentation at $T = 0$, follow up at $T = 1$ month.

d. Diagnostic assessments, including:
   - Diagnostic methods
   - Challenges (e.g., financial, language/cultural)
   - Reasoning and prognostic characteristics (e.g., staging), where applicable

e. Types and mechanism of intervention

f. A summary of the clinical course of all follow-up visits

Comments: No. See notes below.

5. Is the interpretation (discussion and conclusion) well balanced and supported by the case presented?

Comments: No. See notes below.

6. Is the anonymity of the patient protected? Please consider any identifying information in images such as facial features or nametags, whether the patient is named etc. If not, please detail below.

Unsure. See notes below.

7. Is the Abstract representative of the case presented?

Comments: No. See notes below.

8. Does the case represent a useful contribution to the medical literature?

Comments: It can be a useful contribution to the medical literature after a major revision.
9. Additional comments for the author(s)?

The quality of English language is not accepted and needs a substantial revision. Language errors can be found in the title, abstract and main text of the article. Article should be reviewed by native speaker. Language errors make the article difficult to be reviewed. For example the last sentence in the discussion is not clear at all.

The title can be misleading for readers. In fact, the patient did not have preeclampsia.

Introduction part:

Please mention more information about the case e.g. ethnicity, week of gestation, associated symptoms etc.

Please correct the conclusion. Findings of Takayasu's arteritis in magnetic resonance angiography is not a conclusion but a part of the case presentation. What is the message from the article?

Two a little bit different abstracts were presented for peer review. Please choose one of them.

Main article:

The ethnicity of the patient was not given.

Please mention the source of the table 1 in the subtitle.

What do you mean by "AT" in the conclusion? Please give full name before use of first abbreviation.

Why the table 1 is mentioned twice?

Why are there extra two figures without subheading?

The fourth figure has a sentence in non-English Language. If it a name of the patient, please delete it to ensure anonymity of the patient.
The discussion part lacks the comparison of the case report with the available literature. Authors should display the similarities and differences with other case reports and to focus on the novelty of this case report.

The discussion should include possible patho-mechanism of the hypertension.

The conclusion should focus on the message from this case report.

The number of references should be increased. The low number of citations does not cover the needs especially for the discussion part.

**Level of interest**
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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