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Author’s response to reviews:

9. Additional comments for the author(s)?

3. The quality of English language is not accepted and needs a substantial revision. Language errors can be found in the title, abstract and main text of the article. Article should be reviewed by native speaker. Language errors make the article difficult to be reviewed. For example the last sentence in the discussion is not clear at all.

Answer: Article reviewed by native speaker

4. The title can be misleading for readers. In fact, the patient did not have preeclampsia.

Answer: The title has been changed Lines 3 and 25
5. Introduction part:

Please mention more information about the case e.g. ethnicity, week of gestation, associated symptoms etc.

Answer: ethnicity Information about patient has been added lines 33, 86 and 87

6. Please correct the conclusion. Findings of Takayasu's arteritis in magnetic resonance angiography is not a conclusion but a part of the case presentation. What is the message from the article?

Two a little bit different abstracts were presented for peer review. Please choose one of them.

Answer: the conclusions have been restructured according to the knowledge acquired with this case and We did select only one abstract

7. Main article:

The ethnicity of the patient was not given.

Answer: ethnicity Information about patient has been added lines 33, 86 and 87

Please mention the source of the table 1 in the subtitle.

Answer: Source have been added line 277

What do you mean by "AT" in the conclusion? Please give full name before use of first abbreviation.

Answer: AT word has been changed by “TA”. Line 182
Why the table 1 is mentioned twice?
Answer: Corrected, only is mentioned in line 131

Why are there extra two figures without subheading?
Answer: Two additional figures about tomography were added because the red arrow indicating the stenosis is not high resolution and, the original file was attached so that the editor could correct that defect if necessary

The fourth figure has a sentence in non-English Language. If it a name of the patient, please delete it to ensure anonymity of the patient.
Answer: Corrected

The discussion part lacks the comparison of the case report with the available literature. Authors should display the similarities and differences with other case reports and to focus on the novelty of this case report.
Answer: Corrected. Discussion has been restructured and related with others similar cases and new references have been added. Lines 137-143; 148-149; 163-169 and, 170-179

The discussion should include possible

8. Introduction part:

Please mention more information about the case e.g. ethnicity, week of gestation, associated symptoms etc

Answer: Corrected, Demographic patient characteristic have been added. Lines 86, 87 and 88
Please correct the conclusion. Findings of Takayasu's arteris-mechanism of the hypertension.

The conclusion should focus on the message from this case report.

The number of references should be increased. The low number of cita

Answer: Corrected. Conclusions have been restructured and new cites and respective references have been added.