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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Dr Brook,

Response to reviewers

re: manuscript titled “Reversal of end-stage heart failure in juvenile haemochromatosis with iron chelation therapy: a case report”.

The authors thank the reviewers and editor. We appreciated comments from reviewer 1 and 2 who recognized the importance of our study and its appropriateness for publication.

You had the following useful comments which we have addressed:

#1. Give complete past medical, social, family, and environmental history at the begging of the case report and not scattered throughout the Case Report.
Response: Changes made as suggested. The complete medical, social and family history, originally described in paragraph three has been moved to the beginning of the case report (page 4, line 1). Environmental history has been described (page 4, line 21).

#2. What medications was the patient on prior to diagnosis?

Response: The only medication was twice daily pre-mixed insulin. This is described on page 4, line 13.

#3. Give detailed physical and neurological examination on admission. What was the temperature, pulse, blood pressure and temperature, on admission?

Response: Change made as suggested (page 4, line 23).

#4. Give all results of laboratory findings (i.e. CBC, liver and renal functions), serology, microbiology etc)

Response: Change made as suggested (page 5, Line 15).

#5. Give information about follow-up for at least 6 months.

Response: This information is present in the manuscript, please refer page 8, line 24.

#6. In the Discussion – Start with a paragraph summarizing the case and describe what is unique in this case compared to what is available in the literature.

Response: Change made as suggested (page 9, line 13).

Many thanks for your consideration.

Yours sincerely,

Shamil Cooray
On behalf of the authors