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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Reviewer 1;

First we wanted to thank you for your time and thoughtful counseling that helped make our work better.

We will respond to your concerns point by point.

1: an extensive review of english has been made with the help of a native of the language

2: regarding the review of the literature, we wanted in the first manuscript to only use the 2 mains studies in the topic to be brief and concise in order to avoid repetition and to make it easy to read. In the new one we added more relevent references in order to respond to your concern and to stick to our policy.
3 : regarding the case presentation part, the missing details have been added.

Concerning the histological slides and initial tumor markers, the patient was first treated in an emergency setting in a primary care structure and then referred to our center so that those details are missing.

We hope all your concerns have been cleared.

We remain at your entire disposal for any further information.

Thank you again for your time.

Kindest Regards ;

Dear Reviewer 2 ;

First we wanted to thank you for your time and thoughtful counseling that helped make our work better.

We will respond to your concerns point by point.

1 : an extensive review of english has been made with the help of a native of the language.

2 : The patient was initially treated in an emergency setting in an another health structure that’s why the initial diagnostic methods were not appropriate. This fact was clarified in the new manuscript.

3 : The follow-up part has been rewritten with more details as you asked.
4: regarding the review of the literature, we wanted in the first manuscript to only use the 2 mains studies in the topic to be brief and concise in order to avoid repetition and to make it easy to read. In the new one we added more relevent references in order to respond to your concern and to stick to our policy.

5: The nationality of the patient has been removed.

We hope all your concerns have been cleared.

We remain at your entire disposal for any further information.

Thank you again for your time.

Kindest Regards;