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Author’s response to reviews:

I am grateful for all the comments made by the reviewers. I declare that I have made all the requested corrections.

Authors present a case report of an anatomical variant of the meniscus. Issues and my recommendations regarding this manuscript are listed below. Case Report:

* This is a well-written case report with a clear message.

* The term 'arc of movement' is unusual. Shouldn't it be 'range of motion’?

- I made the modification to range of motion as requested, and I agree with the suggested correction.

* Post-operative imaging would improve the manuscript if available.

- Unfortunately, no postoperative images were made, since the clinical improvement of the patient after the partial menissectomy procedure.

Figures: * Are these T1 weighted MRI images? I can clearly see that they are fat-saturated but the knee effusion is hyperintense so these should be T2 weighted or PD images. Please comment.

- The images are actually T2 in MRI. I declare that there was a typing mistake. Thanks for the understanding.
Thank you for the opportunity to publish this estimable journal