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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear reviewers,

thank you for your comments to our case report. We hereby want to give you a point-by-point response to the several points you asked for a revision.

Reviewer reports:

The manuscript requires an additional revision.

At the end of the Introduction there is a need to add a paragraph that explains why this case report is presented (what is unique and adds to the medical knowledge)

We added another paragraph to the Introduction that explains the singularity of this case as well as the clinical implementations at page 2.

More information is needed:

Give complete past medical, social, family, and environmental history. What medication was the patient on prior to diagnosis?

We added these informations on Page 3 within the Case Report section.
Give detailed physical and neurological examination on admission. What was the temperature, pulse, blood pressure and temperature, on admission? Was urinalysis done?

Part of these informations were already in the case report, the additional clinical information was added on Page 3 within the Case Report section.

Give all results of laboratory findings (i.e. CBC, liver and renal functions), serology, microbiology etc)

Give information about follow-up for at least 6 months.

We added this informations on Page 3 within the Case Report section. Initialy we did not perform a liver function test. The last time we have seen the patient was 6 weeks after the operation.

In the Discussion: Describe what is unique in this case compared to what is available in the literature in the Discussion.

We included this information on page 5

Yours sincerely
J. Lempp and V. Schwenger