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Reviewer's report:

I have few comments and questions from authors-

1. Title says Intrahepatobiliary Cystadenoma Mimicking Hydatid Cyst of Liver but on radiology simple cyst was also in differential diagnosis. (pg 2, abstract results line 28 & 30) In addition 4 cases were multiloculated. Authors have also mentioned that in 2 cases radiologic diagnosis was not available. Please clarify?

2. The description of 6 cases is too long and should be concise.

3. In discussion part page 11 lines 15,17 Unfortunately, these tumors have a high recurrence rate and an estimated 20% undergo malignant transformation if incompletely excised. Please add reference

4. Authors described Radical resections of the liver is the best treatment but most papers mention enucleation with free margins or segmental liver resection as recommended treatment. Please add this.

5. In discussion part pg 12 second para the current 2010 World Health Organization classification and because of the requirement for the presence of ovarian-type stroma, the neoplasms without ovarian stroma are now classified as intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms biliary type with marked cystic changes.[ Diagnostic and Therapeutic Challenges of Intrahepatic Biliary Cystadenoma and Cystadenocarcinoma: A Report of 10 Cases and Review of the Literature. Int Surg. 2015]. Please add this line.

6. English language needs corrections at many places.
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