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Reviewer's report:

Dear Author,

Thankyou for some additions to the paper.

The case is unique but it is far from "adequate to publish" in the current form. The corrected revision submitted is still lacking in constructive evidence and elaboration on the mechanism of noradrenaline reversal. As mentioned in the previous comment, I have requested that you added more references to support your statement. However, you have provided two references on animal subjects and dated as far as 1975 and 1964: all of which are outdated references. More importantly, your case report needs to be written in a flowing and eloquent manner instead of merely reporting information piece by piece.

In another study by Karim, the results on noradrenaline during alpha blockade are not conclusive with your statement. The result was found that under alpha blockade (phentolamine), arterial blood pressure was reduced but not abolished. In fact, the pressor action of noradrenaline in pithed cats (to abolish any normal reflexes) injected with phenoxybenzamine and phentolamine is due entirely to an increase in cardiac output (beta effects). No vasodilation was reported. What do you inquire on this statement?
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