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Reviewer’s report:

Dear authors,

The manuscript suffers major flaws that do not permit acceptance.

Please note the following points and edit the manuscript accordingly:

1. There is no evidence that this is castration-resistant prostate cancer, for the following reasons:
   a) it is not clear whether the patient is still on ADT 2 years following radiation therapy
   b) only one PSA value is recorded and no sera testosterone measurement was done, this is not enough evidence for metastatic castration-resistant state.

2. What do you mean by "Class 1" in urine cytology?

3. Please include a CT image that shows the hydronephrosis and the level of obstruction, replace the existing CT picture as is of no value.

4. Please include image from retrograde study

5. Please explain why did not you perform ureteroscopy and direct tumor biopsy?

6. Please explain the rationale behind performing nephroureterectomy in the setting of metastatic-castration resistant prostate cancer, what is the benefit for a 77 year old patient in view of his limited prognosis. It only adds to the patient's morbidity and adds no benefit in terms of survival.

7. Why is the patient still on ADT only considering that he is castration-resistant? What would the impact of nephrectomy be in his GFR and ability to receive chemotherapy if needed?

The paper needs extensive editing in grammar and spelling order to be accepted for publication.
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