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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected event in the course of observing or treating a patient

Do you believe the case report is authentic?:

Yes

Do you have any ethical concerns?:

I don’t have any ethical concerns.

Is the Abstract representative of the case presented?:

yes

Does the Introduction explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature?:

the introduction don’t explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature.

Does the article report relevant patient information?: Yes

Does the article report relevant physical examination findings?: Yes

Does the article report important dates and times in this case?: Yes

Does the article report the diagnostic assessments?: Yes

Does the article report the types of intervention?: Yes

Does the article report a summary of the clinical course of all follow-up visits?: Yes

Is the interpretation (discussion and conclusion) well balanced and supported by the case presented?:

yes
Does the case represent a useful contribution to the medical literature?:
yes

Was written informed consent to publish this case obtained?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?:
the anonymity of the patient is protected.

Additional comments to authors?:
The introduction doesn't explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Declaration of competing interests:
I declare that I have no competing interests