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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have described interestingly a case of Community-acquired Streptomyces spp. bacteremic pneumonia in an immunocompetent patient. I have a few minor queries as described below:

1. It has been mentioned three blood cultures were obtained on admission, of which two revealed presence of Streptomyces. Was these 3 blood samples collected through a single venipuncture? Were the blood samples collected from different sites? I would suggest the authors to mention these details in the manuscript for the benefit of the readers.

2. The isolate was found to be ciprofloxacin sensitive, but the patient did not respond to initial treatment with Levofloxacin. It would be interesting if the authors could discuss the possible reasons in the discussion.

3. The discussion could include some reports about Streptomyces bacteremia secondary to infection at other sites, besides pulmonary involvement. It would be good to describe the different clinical settings in which Streptomyces bacteremia has been reported.

4. The manuscript has to be reviewed for spelling mistakes. For instance the spelling of "cytology", "mycobacterial" culture, "resistance" needs to corrected.

5. It has been mentioned: "In all the reported cases were Streptomyces spp. grew in BAL culture, an immunosuppressive condition was always associated (our patient was immunocompetent)." In this sentence "were" should be replaced by "where".
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