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Reviewer’s report:

The Authors had described well enough a case of Streptomyces atratus pneumonia in an old, apparently immunocompetent, man. I think that this case is worth reporting, with few recommendations to improve the quality of the paper. Here are my comments:

1. The Authors should specify if this infection was community-acquired or not, following current definitions.

2. The Authors should briefly discuss why they chose single-agent imipenem as second-line treatment after apparent levofloxacin failure. I would be grateful if they would indicate at least one literature reference to support this decision.

3. Did the Authors monitored procalcitonin (PCT) levels? If PCT returned to normal, readers would appreciate to know when. I suppose that the Authors decided, probably correctly, not to interrupt antibiotics on the basis of PCT levels only; they should briefly discuss this point.

4. Although I appreciate their effort, in my opinion the literature search was not exhaustive nor systematic. So, my final suggestion is to change the title of the paper in "A case of community-acquired bacteremic Streptomyces atratus pneumonia in an immunocompetent adult"
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