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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: None

If other, please specify:

A rare clinical entity

Do you believe the case report is authentic?: Yes

Do you have any ethical concerns?: No

Is the Abstract representative of the case presented?: Yes

Does the Introduction explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature?: Yes

Does the article report relevant patient information?: Yes

Does the article report relevant physical examination findings?: Yes

Does the article report important dates and times in this case?: Yes

Does the article report the diagnostic assessments?: Yes

Does the article report the types of intervention?: Yes

Does the article report a summary of the clinical course of all follow-up visits?: Yes

Is the interpretation (discussion and conclusion) well balanced and
supported by the case presented?:
Yes

Does the case represent a useful contribution to the medical literature?:
Yes

Was written informed consent to publish this case obtained?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?:
Yes

Additional comments to authors?:
The authors have addressed almost all issues raised.

However, regarding the conclusions section, I think that we can not recommend that a nipple sparing excision with positive margins could represent an alternative treatment option for SAN.

Therefore, in my opinion this section needs to be modified accordingly
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Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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