Author's response to reviews

Title: Syringomatous adenoma of the nipple: report of a case

Authors:

Shoichi Ishikawa (shoichi782@gmail.com)
Hirotaka Sako (hsako@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp)
Koji Masuda (kojimasuda@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp)
Tomoko Tanaka (ttanaka@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp)
Kiyokazu Akioka (kiakioka@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp)
Yoshihiro Yamamoto (yyamamoto@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp)
Yohei Hosokawa (hosokawa@zc.ztv.ne.jp)
Toshiaki Manabe (manabe@mdc.med.shiga-pref.jp)

Version: 5
Date: 19 October 2015

Author's response to reviews: see over
Dear Dr. Acuna:

Thank you for your reply and valuable comments regarding our manuscript entitled “Syringomatous adenoma of the nipple: report of a case” (MS: 1011832979163468).

The reviewers’ comments have facilitated our revision of the manuscript. We have attempted to address their questions and comments in a point-by-point manner.

This detailed review of our manuscript is appreciated, and we have attempted to answer each of the questions and change the indicated sentences according to the reviewers’ recommendations. We have incorporated these changes, indicated in red and underlined text, into the new version of our manuscript.

We hope that we have satisfactorily answered the reviewers’ comments and that the revised manuscript is acceptable for publication in the Journal of Medical Case Reports.

Yours sincerely,

Shoichi Ishikawa, M.D.
Department of Surgery,
Omihachiman Community Medical Center,
1379 Tsuchida-cho, Omihachiman city,
Shiga, 523-0082, Japan
E-mail: shoichi782@gmail.com
Response to Dr. Nikolaos Salemis

Thank you for your valuable comments regarding our manuscript entitled “Syringomatous adenoma of the nipple: report of a case.”

Your detailed review of this manuscript is appreciated, and we have attempted to answer each of your questions and change the indicated sentences according to your recommendations. We incorporated these changes, indicated in red text, into the new version of our manuscript.

Comment:

The authors have addressed almost all issues raised. However, regarding the conclusions section, I think that we cannot recommend that a nipple sparing excision with positive margins could represent an alternative treatment option for SAN.

Therefore, in my opinion this section needs to be modified accordingly.

Page 8, line 20
Page 9, line 4
Page 9, line 14

We agreed with your comment. We changed our manuscript like above.