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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Do you believe the case report is authentic?: Yes

Do you have any ethical concerns?: No

Is the Abstract representative of the case presented?: Yes

Does the Introduction explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature?: Yes

Does the article report relevant patient information?: Yes

Does the article report relevant physical examination findings?: Yes

Does the article report important dates and times in this case?: Yes

Does the article report the diagnostic assessments?: Yes

Does the article report the types of intervention?: Yes

Does the article report a summary of the clinical course of all follow-up visits?: Yes

Is the interpretation (discussion and conclusion) well balanced and supported by the case presented?: Yes
Does the case represent a useful contribution to the medical literature?:  
Yes, it deserves to publish

Was written informed consent to publish this case obtained?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes

Additional comments to authors?:
If the patient had parametrial and vaginal involvement, then why they didn't do radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymphadenectomy? They should give information about residue tumor and the surgical specimen like were there any involvement on the surgical margins? or any other focus?

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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