Reviewer’s report

Title: Non-invasive treatment for severe complex pressure ulcers complicated with necrotizing fasciitis: A Case Report

Version: 7 Date: 29 May 2015

Reviewer: Abdulrasheed Nasir

Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: New associations or variations in disease processes

Do you believe the case report is authentic?:

yes The report look original

Do you have any ethical concerns?:

Ethical approval was sought and patient gave consent and report perspective

Is the Abstract representative of the case presented?:

The abstract is not adequately representing the report

Does the Introduction explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature?:

Not well introduced

Does the article report relevant patient information?: Yes

Does the article report relevant physical examination findings?: No

Does the article report important dates and times in this case?: Yes

Does the article report the diagnostic assessments?: Yes

Does the article report the types of intervention?: Yes

Does the article report a summary of the clinical course of all follow-up visits?: No

If any information is missing from the reporting, please detail it here.: The case presentation was poorly written and too long for ready to capture the essence of the presentation. There is a lot of repetition and unnecessary statements like in this single case report, exploring the form of negative pressure
wound therapy instillation.
It is difficult to appreciate how reduced serum potassium will suggest wound infection.
The authors mention that computed tomography show infection in her sacral: what are these features of infection?

**Is the interpretation (discussion and conclusion) well balanced and supported by the case presented?**

somewhat
There are so many typographical or grammatical errors in the manuscript for instance-The wound on the medical aspect of the...
The basis of irrigation with hydrogen peroxide, 0.9% saline, iodine, 0.5% metronidazole in turn is not clear.
The author used PU as abbreviation for Pressure Ulcer and Polyurethane?
In the discussion- the statement that no statistically difference between type of irrigation solution.
The use of statistic is poorly understood

**Does the case represent a useful contribution to the medical literature?**

not novel. No additional knowledge

**Was written informed consent to publish this case obtained?** Yes

**Is the anonymity of the patient protected?**

Yes

**Additional comments to authors?**

Reference needs to be as in index medicus and Vancouver style.

**Level of interest**: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English**: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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