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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Do you believe the case report is authentic?: yes

Do you have any ethical concerns?: no

Is the Abstract representative of the case presented?: yes

Does the Introduction explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature?: no - too long

Does the article report relevant patient information?: Yes

Does the article report relevant physical examination findings?: Yes

Does the article report important dates and times in this case?: Yes

Does the article report the diagnostic assessments?: Yes

Does the article report the types of intervention?: Yes

Does the article report a summary of the clinical course of all follow-up visits?: Yes

If any information is missing from the reporting, please detail it here.: no

Is the interpretation (discussion and conclusion) well balanced and
supported by the case presented?:
yes

Does the case represent a useful contribution to the medical literature?:
limited

Was written informed consent to publish this case obtained?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?:
yes

Additional comments to authors?:
There are few lines like CT brain, syphilis serology not done. Why are you mentioning if not done?
Too long introduction / background. Even for pathbreaking studies it does not run that long.
It is like reading a psychiatric notes, not in a format for journal publication. To much of unnecessary information
NEED IMAGES TO SEE WHY HE WAS ADVISED such treatments
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