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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: None

Do you believe the case report is authentic?:

Yes, the manuscript appeared to be written by author and has not been found to be published previously.

Do you have any ethical concerns?:

Since it was a non-trial clinical case there was no ethics approval needed but should follow at least follow the institution’s clinical guidelines including getting patient’s consent for treatment.

Is the Abstract representative of the case presented?:

Can be improved - should be more focused with clearer objectives. Manuscript title requires revision – too long and unfocused.

Does the Introduction explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature?:

There is lacking of good sentence construction in the manuscript – often lack of details/description of management procedures and aim of treatment, discussion and loose definition of proper terminologies used e.g. prognosis, treatment outcome, success of treatment.

Does the article report relevant patient information?: Yes

Does the article report relevant physical examination findings?: No

Does the article report important dates and times in this case?: No

Does the article report the diagnostic assessments?: No

Does the article report the types of intervention?: Yes

Does the article report a summary of the clinical course of all follow-up
visits?: No

If any information is missing from the reporting, please detail it here.:

Description of clinical procedures and justification or basis of treatment choices including objective of each intervention, description of assessment outcomes (changes or progress of health status etc); description of prognosis assessment criteria, details of clinical findings before and after therapy; details of each intervention - objectives, duration, step-by-step procedure, home care/supportive care provided, follow-up visits and progress of health

Is the interpretation (discussion and conclusion) well balanced and supported by the case presented?:

Can be much improved - stay focus on the objective of reporting e.g. justification of choice of procedures, setting prognosis criteria etc.

Does the case represent a useful contribution to the medical literature?:

No new knowledge on management of Aggressive Periodontitis - The management approach has been used and described individually by previous published reports

Was written informed consent to publish this case obtained?: No

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?:

yes

Additional comments to authors?:

Recommended to focus on specific issue relevant to the treatment approach – is it the critical choice of keeping poor prognosed tooth? The impact of selected treatment approach? The critical criteria for measuring treatment outcome/success?...

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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