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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Other

If other, please specify:

delayed diagnosis

Do you believe the case report is authentic?:

Yes, I do. Really, he is a overlooked case. Currently, in a case of heel pain, many the clinicians think Sever’s Disease instead of severe infection. They pointed out this issue.

Do you have any ethical concerns?:

No

Is the Abstract representative of the case presented?:

Yes

Does the Introduction explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature?:

Yes

Does the article report relevant patient information?: Yes

Does the article report relevant physical examination findings?: Yes

Does the article report important dates and times in this case?: Yes

Does the article report the diagnostic assessments?: Yes

Does the article report the types of intervention?: Yes

Does the article report a summary of the clinical course of all follow-up visits?: Yes
If any information is missing from the reporting, please detail it here.: No

Is the interpretation (discussion and conclusion) well balanced and supported by the case presented?: Discussion is longer than normal.

Does the case represent a useful contribution to the medical literature?: a little bit.

Was written informed consent to publish this case obtained?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?: Yes.

Additional comments to authors?: You should add (in page 6, second paragraph) that one of the important direct inoculation causes is heel puncture for screening of newborn.


Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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