Reviewer’s report

Title: False negative pericardial FAST examination following cardiac rupture from blunt thoracic trauma: a case report

Version: 2 Date: 26 May 2015

Reviewer: Vidar Ruddox

Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected event in the course of observing or treating a patient

Do you believe the case report is authentic?: Yes

Do you have any ethical concerns?: No

Is the Abstract representative of the case presented?: Yes, but it could be sharpened as could the care presented

Does the Introduction explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature?: Please see the Attached report

Does the article report relevant patient information?: Yes

Does the article report relevant physical examination findings?: Yes

Does the article report important dates and times in this case?: Yes

Does the article report the diagnostic assessments?: Yes

Does the article report the types of intervention?: Yes

Does the article report a summary of the clinical course of all follow-up visits?: Yes

If any information is missing from the reporting, please detail it here.: Please see the Attached report

Is the interpretation (discussion and conclusion) well balanced and
supported by the case presented?:

Please see the Attached report

Does the case represent a useful contribution to the medical literature?:

Yes

Was written informed consent to publish this case obtained?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?:

Yes

Additional comments to authors?:

Please see the Attached report.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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