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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: Unexpected or unusual presentations of a disease

Do you believe the case report is authentic?:

The case is authentic as imaging is provided with appropriate description of the presentation of the disease.

Do you have any ethical concerns?:

Informed consent was obtained. Does not require any more approvals.

Is the Abstract representative of the case presented?:

It can be better presented as is not stated the reason for the re-intervention. Will be important to add why it was required.

Does the Introduction explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature?:

It’s enough to start the presentation. It cover basic aspects like a brief history of the term.

Does the article report relevant patient information?: Yes

Does the article report relevant physical examination findings?: Yes

Does the article report important dates and times in this case?: Yes

Does the article report the diagnostic assessments?: Yes

Does the article report the types of intervention?: Yes

Does the article report a summary of the clinical course of all follow-up visits?: Yes

If any information is missing from the reporting, please detail it here.: It will be important to mention the relation between flight physiology and the
underlying pathology as the patient was transported by air with a tension air collection inside the skull. Expansion of air is a common complication of air transport.

Is the interpretation (discussion and conclusion) well balanced and supported by the case presented?:

Yes it is. It will be important to add few sentences regarding the 3rd required procedure. Why the second procedure failed?

Does the case represent a useful contribution to the medical literature?:

Yes. It will be really important for neurotrauma care worldwide.

Was written informed consent to publish this case obtained?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?:

Yes

Additional comments to authors?:

None

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published
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