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Which of the following best describes what type of case report this is?: An unexpected event in the course of observing or treating a patient

Do you believe the case report is authentic?: No concerns on authenticity.

Do you have any ethical concerns?: No ethical concerns

Is the Abstract representative of the case presented?: Yes, it is.

Does the Introduction explain the relevance of the case to the medical literature?: The Introduction is adequate.

Does the article report relevant patient information?: Yes

Does the article report relevant physical examination findings?: Yes

Does the article report important dates and times in this case?: Yes

Does the article report the diagnostic assessments?: Yes

Does the article report the types of intervention?: Yes

Does the article report a summary of the clinical course of all follow-up visits?: Yes

If any information is missing from the reporting, please detail it here.: N/A

Is the interpretation (discussion and conclusion) well balanced and
supported by the case presented?:
They are coherent without missing issues.

Does the case represent a useful contribution to the medical literature?:
The case is didactic and useful.

Was written informed consent to publish this case obtained?: Yes

Is the anonymity of the patient protected?:
No concerns.

Additional comments to authors?:
This is an interesting and elegant case.
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