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Reviewer's report:

Article title "Kinesiotaping for postoperative edema - what is the evidence? A systematic review" deal an important issue of postoperative edema. This article explores current evidence for the effectiveness of kinesiotaping in the postoperative setting.

This is an excellent review of the current literature to highlighted better the role of Kinesiotaping for postoperative edema, it is very interesting und updated. The role of Kinesiotaping for postoperative edema and for other clinical applications should be better studied based on its importance. The format is appropriate and well written. There are only some minor revisions to address before recommending publication.

Line 57, please add more information about possible kinesiotaping application such as Postural Spine Curvature Disorders, Knee Osteoarthritis and other musculoskeletal disorders, to help better readers understanding.

Please see and comment the following papers:


In the conclusion section please specify better the clinical relevance of your work.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I don't have conflict of interest

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal