Reviewer’s report

Title: Cross-cultural adaptation and measurement properties of the Dutch Knee Self Efficacy Scale (K-SES).

Version: 2 Date: 28 Dec 2018

Reviewer: Eric Hamrin Senorski

Reviewer’s report:

General Comments

Thank you for once again giving me the opportunity to review this important paper. The study is well conducted. The paper is well structured and it is easy to follow. The authors have put a great effort in commenting and revising the paper after the previous submission - I applaud the author in their work and for the improvement of this paper. Nearly all of the previous comments have been handled. I am happy to see this paper be published but I have some minor comments which the author may wish to revise before submitting the final version of the manuscript.

The authors identify a need for translating a widely used knee self-efficacy questionnaire into Dutch, and determine measurement properties by comparing results with other relevant questionnaires already available in Dutch and by content analysis. The methodology is performed by the book, and results show good validity and reliability for the Dutch version of the K-SES.

Point by point:

Title: adequate.

Abstract:

Line 24: "additional measurement properties". This is a bit different than your aim in the paper, where you state that you aim to 1) cross culturally adapt the scale, and 2) determine measurement properties. Please make sure the aims are similar.

Line 33: this is the first time you use K-SES D, please define abbreviation.

Line 34: this is the first time you use KOOS, please define abbreviation.

Line 39: remove one "in"

Please consider removing RTS from keywords.
Introduction

No comments - well written.

Methods

Very well written - by the book.

Results:

Line 224: It is not clear how this results will effect your results: "average number of months since ACLR".

Line 226: you jump a bit between sample one and two or ACL/ACLR. This can perhaps be clarified by first reporting the results for one sample, then the other.

Line 226: The difference in KOOS may be expected as the time of follow-up between groups are very different. This difference should be acknowledged if this results is reported.

Line 237: Typo. Here you write factor two, while earlier you report it in numbers (2,3,4 etc)

Figures

Adequate.

Tables

Adequate.

References

Adequate.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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