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Author’s response to reviews:

CORRECTIONS POINT-BY-POINT

SSMR-D-16-00053

Dear Editor,

We would like to thank you for the reviewing of our manuscript entitled "May Thurner Syndrome revealed by left calf venous claudication during running, a case report". We appreciate the opportunity to be published in your journal promoting the link between vascular medicine, exercise physiology and field applications. We would like to warmly thank the reviewers for the time spent undertaking their helpful revision. We have detailed our responses point-by-point.

Yours sincerely,

Samuel Béliard

EDITOR

Title page - please ensure the email address for each author is listed on this page.

We ensure the email address for each author on title page.

Consent for publication - All presentations of case reports must have consent to publish. If written consent to publish has not been obtained we ask that this be obtained retrospectively before uploading your revised manuscript.
We obtained the consent of the patient for publication (attached file).

We send a copy of the consent form directly to MedicalEditorsConfidential@biomedcentral.com.

We include a new statement for the 'Consent for publication' declaration (page 3 lines 1-4).

Authorship (https://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/editorial-policies#authorship)

We note that one author has been added (LSM), and one removed (SR). Thank you for submitting an authorship form. Please respond to the two following concerns:

a) Author LSM has been added as an author after the first round of revision due to their involvement in revising the manuscript.

From our editorial policies, an 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. We recommend that that you adhere to the guidelines for authorship that are applicable in your research field or, in the absence of any guidelines, to the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) guidelines. According to the ICMJE guidelines, to qualify as an author one should have:

- made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data;
- been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content;
- given final approval of the version to be published. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content; and
- agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Acquisition of funding, collection of data, or general supervision of the research group, alone, does not usually justify authorship.

Please consider whether this individual qualifies for authorship according to the ICMJE guidelines or whether it would be more suitable to be included as a contributor in the 'acknowledgements' section.

b) Author SR has been removed from the author list and this has not been detailed in the authorship form attached to your revised manuscript. A new authorship form which has been completed correctly with all details would need to be received by the journal to proceed with any changes in authorship.
Please also note that your author contributions statement in the main manuscript PDF must reflect changes made in the form accurately.

Please complete a new Authorship change form and upload this with your revised manuscript.

We complete a new Authorship change form and we upload this with our revised manuscript.

We change our author contributions statement in the main manuscript (page 10 lines 18-27).

We change our acknowledgements in the main manuscript (page 10 lines 32-33).

REVIEWER 2:

Revision well done and all points addressed to satisfaction

In addition:

Line 6 page 2: we added the word “diagnosis”. It was an oversight.

We have updated two references (page 11, lines 24-27)
