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**Author’s response to reviews:**

1) Table 3 and Table 4 - associations between continuous variables should be tested with Pearson’s r. Please revise the statistical analyses and results accordingly.

R – Regarding Table 3, in fact, we have not tested correlations, but whether the different values of the socioeconomic and clinical characteristics resulted in significantly different SULCS scores. To avoid misinterpretation, we removed the words ‘relationship’ and ‘associations’ from the text. Since, each socioeconomic and clinical variable was categorised into different categories, we used the independent samples t-test.

Regarding Table 4, we were interested in testing whether different functional categories according to SULCS corresponded to different average EQ-5D, SIS and MESUPES scores. For this purpose, we used independent samples t-test or ANOVA procedures.

The manuscript was revised according to the clarifications mentioned above.

2) The discussion section is extremely short, please expand it. The second last paragraph should be expanded to explain the findings.

R – The discussion section was expanded in this revised version. The main findings are now explored in greater detail as suggested.