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**Reviewer's report:**

The manuscript outlines the results of a pilot feasibility study looking at leucine enriched amino acid supplementation in mechanically ventilated trauma patients in an intensive care setting. The study demonstrated that it was rather unfeasible to recruit the required number of patients, and collect many of the planned outcome measures, which were planned. The manuscript is well written and clear. I have only minor comments, however I would have learned more from the manuscript if the authors could have made more specific suggestions on ways they would change the protocol of a future study given what they learned from this one, as it is now the discussion tends to just highlight areas that need consideration without specific recommendations.

Minor issues:

Abstract line 28, included "feasibility" after randomized

line 29, there is a capital "A" than needs to be deleted from the word day

Methods, line 73, the dates in the methods section do not seem to correspond with the dates in the trial registry
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