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Reviewer’s report:

Dear Author (s),

There are no solid scientific clinical arguments to justify the use of bosentan in multiple sclerosis. The methodology is quite bizarre. The main objectives and outcomes are quite confusing. There is no a clear statistical analysis plan. The project does not have a clear pharmacovigilance plan. It is not well understood why did the authors decided to include the Clinical Trial into a "proof of concept" design neither why they decided to consider the project into a "medical need".
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