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Reviewer's report:

This is well written paper. The information provided by authors are clear and concise. I enjoyed reading this protocol on the development and improvement of reporting outcomes of clinical trial protocols and reports. I have only minor suggestions below:

1) Background. Line 134. "... efficacy, safety, and effectiveness." Please also add "efficiency).

2) Line 298. "We will engage multi-national participants involved...". It is not clear for me what the authors consider as "multi-national participants".

3) Line 303. Can the authors list the specific inclusion criteria of participants?

4) Line 326. "...highest level of education..." What will be the highest level of education for a participant with a profile including MD and PhD? I would suggest to not consider only the highest degree of education.
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