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Reviewer's report:

1. Pg 2, line 52-53: "In addition, PREDOMOS aims to identify individual geriatric characteristics that would be predictive of clinical outcomes." I have failed to find any further description of this outcome in your text. Please elaborate.

2. Pg 3, line 37-38: "We also decided to delete the exclusion criterion "patient with psychiatric troubles" because in the absence of cognitive impairment these patients are potential candidates like the others." On Clinicaltrials.gov you still have "Patients with severe psychiatric disorders or advanced dementia pathology." Please clarify this discrepancy.

3. Pg 3, Line 47: "MMSE > 24", This criteria is not included in your Clinicaltrials.gov submission.

4. Pg 3, Line 47: "7/ Lifespan > 6 Months" How do you estimate patients lifespan at inclusion?

Thank you for including a Cost-utility analysis in your study as it is highly relevant for the success of future implementation of your findings.

5. Pg4, Line 22, Will you be using the QLQ-C30 at T0/T3 or T0/T6 in your CUA?

6. Pg4, Line 30: "- Techniques of domotic and remote assistance;" I am not sure what this is referring to. Is this the cost initial purchase of equipment or cost technical support?
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