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Reviewer's report:

Dear authors,

you can propose a study (RCT) to compare 7 versus 14 days of antibiotic treatment for critically ill patients with bloodstream infection.

The manuscript is written sufficiently, the length is acceptable and the statistical methods are mentioned.

Please give your statement to the following points:

1. Abstract
   - No problem

2. Introduction
   - Please better specify the clinical message that the authors want to send

3. Materials and Methods
   Ethics committee: OK; informed consent: OK; NCT: present
   Please better specify if they were followed the Consort guidelines
   Inclusion/exclusion criteria: Well explained
   Primary and secondary endpoint: explained
   Please better specify the double-blind technique in this setting
   Allocation/randomization: please, better specify
   Sample size/power calculation: Please check the calculation.
   Statistical plan: explained

4. Results
   - Please better specify if there are missing data
5. Discussion
- Please specify the clinical message that the authors want to send

6. Tables
No problem

7. References
Please check the journal's guidelines

Level of interest
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable

Quality of figures

All images and figures within the manuscript should be genuine i.e. without evidence of manipulation. No specific feature within an image may be enhanced, obscured, moved, removed, or introduced. If you have concerns about the veracity of the figures you should choose the first option below.

Statistical review

Is it essential that this manuscript is seen by an expert statistician? If so, please give your reasons in your report.
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