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Reviewer’s report:

Minor Compulsory Revisions

This is a nice protocol that demonstrate the reno-protective effects between ARBs and target blood pressure in hypertensive diabetic chronic kidney disease. I only have a few minor comments for the authors.

Manuscript

1) Introduction

(Page 4, line 26)

I think reference 4 seems improper. Please change to the appropriate reference to show the annual mortality rate of CKD patients who progress to require dialysis.

(Page 4, line 43-45)

Please revise the sentence" Angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARB) have also been shown to reduce CKD progression of CKD." I recommend to revise that " Angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARB) have also been shown to reduce CKD progression"

2) Methods and Discussion

Define abbreviations upon first appearance in the text and consistently use the abbreviations such as (KDIGO, ESC, JNC 8, BP, CKD, W, ESRD, eGFR, RAAS blockade)

(Page7, line 35)

Please put the figure number: See Figure

(Page 14, line 25)
I think reference 21 seems improper. Please change to the appropriate and specific reference for KDIGO guideline.

Please use the expression as one of 12W/ 24W or week 12/24 consistently.

Tables
(Page 19)
Table 1
Please present abbreviations of ACE-I/ARB
Please modify the incomplete bracket: 300mg/g) > 300mg/g (or mg/day)

Supplementary Material
Please present abbreviations in SPIRIT-figure PDF

Level of interest
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:
An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Needs some language corrections before being published

Quality of figures
All images and figures within the manuscript should be genuine i.e. without evidence of manipulation. No specific feature within an image may be enhanced, obscured, moved, removed, or introduced. If you have concerns about the veracity of the figures you should choose the first option below.

Statistical review
Is it essential that this manuscript is seen by an expert statistician? If so, please give your reasons in your report.
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