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Reviewer's report:

The topic of the paper is very interesting for the potential readers. But some problems should be solved, firstly the manuscript should be read and revised by a native English speaker to give the reader a swift and clear idea and correct the grammatic errors, some of which had been given the attached files; secondly I am still confusing about the details of the allocation of the patients into five arms, how the authors make sure the groups consisting of randomized patients balance each other at the baseline? If not balanced properly, such study might not lead to a convincing conclusion as the authors' design. So the details of randomization and recruitment of eliglible patients should be given in the revised paper.

Level of interest
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published

Quality of figures
All images and figures within the manuscript should be genuine i.e. without evidence of manipulation. No specific feature within an image may be enhanced, obscured, moved, removed, or introduced. If you have concerns about the veracity of the figures you should choose the first option below.

Statistical review
Is it essential that this manuscript is seen by an expert statistician? If so, please give your reasons in your report.
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