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Dear Prof. Altman,

MS: 2120624690160849
Interactive web-based lifestyle intervention and metabolic syndrome: findings from the Red Ruby (a randomized controlled trial)

Reviewer: Sandra Saperstein
Although the authors made a sincere attempt to revise this paper, the quality of the writing is not acceptable. Sometimes there are just small grammatical errors, but in many other places, the authors' points are not clear. While this was a promising study with nice results, the write-up did not improve enough from the previous version to be published at this time.
Thank you. The manuscript was edited thoroughly. Hope you now find it satisfactory.

Editor's Comment:

"Although the manuscript improved after the revision, the reviewers and myself have some concerns about the quality of written English in this manuscript. This manuscript needs to be seen by a native speaker for revision.
In addition the authors cite ref. 42 in the discussion (which is actually McCully et al. and not Cully et al.) and state "...where they found that men were more interested for diet, weight, and physical activity in using the Internet". In their paper they showed that men were more likely in 2007, but women more likely in 2011. There the cited publication does not necessarily support the authors statement."

1. Thank you. The manuscript was edited for language.
2. The sentence was deleted.

I hope you find revisions satisfactory.
Wish you all the best.
Ali Montazeri