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Author's response to reviews: see over
Dear Editors

Please see below my changes to the comments suggested by both the reviewer and the editorial team:

Editorial requests:

1. Please ensure the title conforms to journal style for study protocol articles. The title should follow the format ?____________: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial.?

Comment: Addressed and highlight in red in the main document

Structured education for the self-management of Type 2 diabetes for adults with intellectual disabilities: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial.

2. Please indicate the corresponding author on the title page.

Comment: Addressed and highlight in red in the main document

Correspondence: Dr Laurence Taggart, Institute of Nursing & Health Research, Ulster University, Newtownabbey, Co Antrim, N. Ireland. E-mail: l.taggart@ulstert.ac.uk

3. Please include a figure title and legend section after the reference list.

Comment: Addressed and highlighted in red in the main document after the reference section

See Figure 1: Flowchart of the study protocol

Reviewers comments:

1) Provide a reference for the definition for intellectual disability.

Comments: Addressed and highlighted in red in main document

2) Two exclusion boxes are presented within the Consort Fig, only one box is required.

Comments: The additional exclusion box has been removed.

I hope the clarity of these responses is clear, they are also highlighted in the main document. If you need further information please e-mail, thank-you for considering this document for publication.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Laurence Taggart