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Dear Ms McGavin,

Thank you very much for the initial editing of our manuscript. The matters you have raised have been addressed. Thus, the title of the manuscript has been changed to “The benefit of minocycline on negative symptoms in early-phase psychosis in addition to standard care – extent and mechanism (BeneMin): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial” to comply with the journals requirements. We have also added a final date of registration of the trial with The European Union Clinical Trial Register and the full name of the NRES Committee which has issued the ethical approval for our study together with the number of the trial.

The documents confirming our funding and ethical approval have been sent to your email address, as you suggested in our previous conversation.

Thank you very much for considering our article and for your help. I am looking forward to hearing from you.

Yours sincerely,

Danuta Lisiecka