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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for taking the time to thoroughly consider all of the comments provided in the reviewing process and making edits accordingly for the majority of comments when feasible. Thank you for including the testing rates by year - interesting that these were relatively stagnant (but high). Stratification of PV yield data is much clearer now with those with family history of PV separated out - great. I acknowledge the strong evidence for TNBC patients having a higher likelihood of having a PV in BRCA1/2, but separating out the participants with a family history of a PV helps demonstrate this association with less confounding. In any future studies utilizing physician review of patient charts in the methods, tracking how this is being done will be helpful to others as the EMRs are difficult to query for this information as noted in last response but understandably, this likely varied across your dataset and that information is not available. This paper will be a nice contribution to the literature, showing readers the success to offering genetic testing to women seen in community hospitals in collaboration with a genetic counselor. These numbers will hopefully show other community hospitals the value of integrating a genetic counselor in their practice to better serve their patients. Thank you again for your time and effort.
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