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Reviewer's report:

Reading the abstract my interpretation was that this was a mns of little interest. Reading the whole mns, however, I found more details lacking in the narrative told in the abstract. Still, however, I am slightly confused by the arguments and the conclusions. In principle, my problem is like this: Late metastases from a BRCA2 caused breast cancer is not uncommon, and a shift in receptor status may occur because treatment given at fist diagnosis may select subclones with different phenotypes to emerge. If the same driving later hits (after the inherited BRCA2 variant) is present in all tumours, they might likely be spread from the first one. If not, a second primary tumour in another tissue known to be at risk when pathogenic BRCA2 variants are inherited, may be more likely. An illustration on how this logic may lead to a conclusion was given in a previous paper (PMID: 29594039 ). If the authors would agree to make the narrative more clearly understandable follow this logic, the story may become more interesting for others to be informed.
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