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Reviewer's report:

A novel experience for Australia so well done!

The tables suggest degree of accuracy in risk estimates that is not supported by the data. I cant see confidence intervals. For example, table 3. I think there needs to be awareness of estimates based on confidence intervals

The question of whether screening of pancreatic cancer in any population is justified and is not addressed. As a Proof of Principle, this is a poor group to approach as a genetic predisposition is uncommon Where is the data on benefits of screening vs no screening in terms of outcome etc. A randomized controlled trial?THe paper reads more like a thesis dissertation. It needs to be shortened and more focussed on the main point(s).Perhaps 2 papers.

Given the mismatch around patient expectations and reality, how will this be addressed in future counselling?

Is there more structured reasons on why those that did not participate, declined?
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