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Reviewer's report:

I think the authors have largely addressed my concerns.

The one area which may not is that they describe a higher prevalence of advanced neoplasia in their cohort compared to "average risk". Yet in the discussion the difference is not statistically significant with CI straddling 1. I think this needs to be discussed in a little more detail and the lack of significance highlighted. How meaningful these comparison are is difficult to know, as the authors point out, as the comparator are studies from an average risk population form other countries. Expanding on the justification (or lack?) of starting the surveillance that they do would be welcome, given the issues highlighted.

Finally, the authors shod double check with that the exclusion of some of the registrants due to sibs with CRC, as well as excluding SSLs form advanced neoplasia, that the tables reflect these changes. I can see no changes highlighted and so it is a bit difficult to work out.
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