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Reviewer’s report:

In general very nice work

My main comment is that Result section and Discussion section may benefit from being differentiated more clearly from one another, and Results section would benefit from being structured somewhat different.

Results - The list of motivators and barriers should follow in the same order, makes it easier to follow. Make sure you don’t revisit same argument/result in different sections.

I.e. Regarding barrier: "side-effect" make sure you don’t have several head-lines for the same topic, i.e. "access to information on side-effects" p 7, line 48, and "Side-effects" p 8 line 54 could be combined? I also the believe the last section "Side-effects" also lists what may be described as "beliefs/opinions on taking medication" rather than side-effects per se

p 8 line 23 starting with "however.... " I believe is better placed in Discussion. The same goes for the paragraph starting with "Since publication..." line 43-51.
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